

AMC Consultants (UK) Limited
Registered in England and Wales - Company No 3688365

1 Bell Street
Maidenhead
Berkshire SL6 1BU
United Kingdom

T +44 1628 778 256
E maidenhead@amcconsultants.com
W amcconsultants.com



Report

Polokhivske JORC Resource Estimate Limited Liability Company Uklithiummining

AMC Project 419043
12 March 2021

Please, contact our Investor Relations manager

E-mail: i.zholonkivskyi@ukrlithium.com

Mobile: +38 067 523 80 72

and request a full 92-page version of this report.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	Scope of work	1
1.2	Site visit.....	1
1.3	Units and currency.....	1
1.4	Mineral Resource	1
2	Property description, location, and licences	3
3	Access, climate, physiography, local resources, and infrastructure.....	7
3.1	Access	7
3.2	Climate	7
3.3	Physiography	7
3.4	Local resources and infrastructure.....	8
3.5	Regional infrastructure	9
4	Project history	10
4.1	Previous Mineral Resource Estimates	11
4.1.1	AMC (2019) estimate.....	11
4.1.2	GKZ estimates.....	11
5	Geological setting and mineralization	13
5.1	Introduction.....	13
5.2	Regional geology	15
5.2.1	Structural geology.....	16
5.3	Property geology	16
5.3.1	Gneiss.....	18
5.3.2	Mineralized granite	18
5.4	Mineralogy.....	18
6	Exploration.....	20
6.1	Regional exploration	20
6.2	Regional geophysics.....	20
6.3	Downhole geophysics	20
7	Drilling.....	21
7.1	Phase 1 (1989–1992).....	22
7.2	Phase 2 (1996)	25
7.3	Phase 3 (2002)	26
7.4	Phase 4 (2017–2018).....	27
7.5	Phase 5 (2019–2020).....	28
7.6	Surveying.....	29
7.6.1	Grid control	29
7.6.2	Drillhole collars	29
7.6.3	Downhole surveying	30
7.6.4	Surface topography	30
7.7	Logging, sampling, assaying, and data-management procedures	30
7.7.1	Geological logging	30
8	Sample preparation, analysis, and security	31
8.1	Sample handling and analytical methods used (1989–2002)	31
8.2	Sample handling and analytical methods used (2017–2018)	31
8.3	Sample handling and analytical methods used (2019–2020)	31
9	Quality assurance and quality control (QA/QC)	34
9.1	QA/QC (1990–1993)	34
9.2	QA/QC (2017–2018)	34
9.3	QA/QC (2019–2020)	35
10	Density analysis	44

10.1	Density analysis (1990–1993)	44
10.2	Density analysis (2017–2018)	44
10.3	Density analysis (2019–2020)	45
11	Data verification	47
12	Mineral Resource Estimate	49
12.1	Mineral Resource	49
12.2	Geological model	50
12.2.1	AMC 2020–2021 geological interpretation	50
12.3	Density	52
12.4	Drillhole data analysis and statistics	52
12.5	Variography	57
12.6	Block model	57
12.7	Model validation	58
12.7.1	Visual assessment	58
12.7.2	Global statistical grade comparisons	60
12.7.3	Grade variance plots	60
12.8	Resource confidence classification	64
12.9	Sensitivity analysis	66
13	Interpretation and conclusions	71
14	Recommendations	72
15	References	73

Tables

Table 1.1	Polokhivske Mineral Resource Estimate, (surface to 500 m), AMC Consultants (UK) Limited, as at 1 March 2021	2
Table 1.2	Polokhivske Mineral Resource Estimate, at depths of 500 m to 600 m, AMC Consultants (UK) Limited, as at 1 March 2021	2
Table 2.1	Licence coordinates (WGS84)	6
Table 3.1	Climate data for Kropyvnytskyi, Ukraine (1949–2011)	7
Table 4.1	Polokhivske Inferred Mineral Resource Estimate, AMC Consultants (UK) Limited, as at 31 June 2019	11
Table 7.1	Number of holes and metres drilled per year	22
Table 9.1	CRMs used by ULM	37
Table 9.2	Summary statistics for CRM OREAS 147	37
Table 9.3	Summary statistics for CRM OREAS 148	38
Table 9.4	Summary statistics for CRM OREAS 751	39
Table 9.5	Summary statistics for CRM OREAS 752	40
Table 9.6	Summary statistics for CRM OREAS 753	41
Table 10.1	Density measurement data (2017–2018)	44
Table 12.1	Polokhivske Mineral Resource Estimate, (surface to 500 m), AMC Consultants (UK) Limited, as at 1 March 2021	49
Table 12.2	Polokhivske Mineral Resource Estimate, at depths of 500 m to 600 m depth, AMC Consultants (UK) Limited, as at 1 March 2021	50
Table 12.3	Polokhivske block model parameters	58
Table 12.4	Resource model sensitivity to cut-off grades Indicated classification, 0 m to 500 m	67
Table 12.5	Resource model sensitivity to cut-off grades Inferred classification, 0 m to 500 m	68

Table 12.6	Resource model sensitivity to cut-off grades Indicated classification, 500 m to 600 m.....	69
Table 12.7	Resource model sensitivity to cut-off grades Inferred classification, 500 m to 600 m.....	70

Figures

Figure 2.1	Location of the Polokhivske deposit within Ukraine.....	3
Figure 2.2	Location of the Polokhivske deposit within Kirovograd region.....	4
Figure 2.3	Polokhivske mining licence area and associated land rights.....	5
Figure 3.1	Typical Dnieper highland terrain.....	8
Figure 3.2	North-facing view of the valley.....	8
Figure 3.3	Ukrainian railway network map.....	9
Figure 5.1	Simplified map of the Ush.....	13
Figure 5.2	Simplified regional geology.....	15
Figure 5.3	Geological and structural map of Polokhivske deposit.....	17
Figure 7.1	Collar positions of all exploration drilling undertaken on the Polokhivske deposit.....	21
Figure 7.2	Location of Phase 1, RC drilling.....	23
Figure 7.3	Phase 1, short, vertical DD drilling.....	24
Figure 7.4	Phase 1, long, inclined DD drilling.....	25
Figure 7.5	Location of bulk DD sample drillhole collars.....	26
Figure 7.6	Location of the large-diameter percussion hole collars.....	27
Figure 7.7	Location of the 2017–2018 drillhole collars.....	28
Figure 7.8	Location of the 2019–2020 drilling (Red).....	29
Figure 8.1	Sample processing and reduction process.....	32
Figure 9.1	Comparison between UGC (original) and Pivdenukriegology (repeat) Li assays.....	35
Figure 9.2	Results of Blank 21e.....	36
Figure 9.3	Results of Blank 22e.....	36
Figure 9.4	Results for CRM OREAS 147.....	37
Figure 9.5	Results for CRM OREAS 148.....	38
Figure 9.6	Results for CRM OREAS 751.....	39
Figure 9.7	Results for CRM OREAS 752.....	40
Figure 9.8	Results for CRM OREAS 753.....	41
Figure 9.9	Scatter plot and QQ plot for low-grade duplicates.....	42
Figure 9.10	XY scatter plot and QQ plot for high-grade duplicates.....	42
Figure 10.1	Comparison between immersion and pycnometer density readings.....	45
Figure 10.2	Relationship between grade (Li ₂ O %) and density (kg/m ³).....	46
Figure 11.1	Relationship between sample grade and recovery.....	48
Figure 12.1	Isometric view of the Leapfrog Geo™ and Datamine RM™ grade shell models looking North.....	52
Figure 12.2	Lithium grade distribution within all sampled DD holes.....	53
Figure 12.3	Box and whisker plot comparing Li ₂ O% grade distribution.....	54
Figure 12.4	Lithium grade distribution within uncomposited samples.....	55
Figure 12.5	Average sample length.....	56
Figure 12.6	Lithium grade distribution within composited samples.....	57
Figure 12.7	Cross-section through exploration drill line number four.....	59

Figure 12.8	Cross-section through exploration drill line number four	59
Figure 12.9	Grade distribution comparison between naïve and estimated Li ₂ O% values	60
Figure 12.10	Swath plot analysis, East orientation	61
Figure 12.11	Swath plot analysis, North orientation.....	62
Figure 12.12	Swath plot analysis, Z orientation	63
Figure 12.13	Cross-section through exploration drill line number four, classified model	65
Figure 12.14	Cross-section through exploration drill line number five, classified model	66
Figure 12.15	Resource grade and tonnage plot, Indicated (0 m to 500 m)	67
Figure 12.16	Resource grade and tonnage plot, Inferred (0 m to 500 m).....	68
Figure 12.17	Resource grade and tonnage plot, Indicated (500 m to 600 m)	69
Figure 12.18	Resource grade and tonnage plot, Inferred (500 m to 600 m)	70

Appendices

Appendix A JORC Table 1

Appendix B JORC Consent form

Please, contact our Investor Relations manager

E-mail: i.zholonkivskyi@ukrlithium.com

Mobile: +38 067 523 80 72

and request a full 92-page version of this report.